# **ORIGINAL ARTICLES**

# **GRAM-NEGATIVE BACTERIA IN WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS OF HOSPITALS**

Nimfa Maria Stojek<sup>1</sup>, Jolanta Szymańska<sup>2</sup>, Jacek Dutkiewicz<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Occupational Biohazards, Institute of Agricultural Medicine, Lublin, Poland <sup>2</sup>Department of Paedodontics, Medical University of Lublin, Poland

Stojek NM, Szymańska J, Dutkiewicz J: Gram-negative bacteria in water distribution systems of hospitals. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2008, **15**, 135–142.

Abstract: A total of 67 samples of tap water were collected from faucets and showerheads in 6 hospitals located in the Lublin province (eastern Poland). The samples were examined for the presence and species composition of Legionella, Gram-negative bacteria belonging to family Enterobacteriaceae (GNB-E) and Gram-negative bacteria not belonging to family Enterobacteriaceae (GNB-NE), by filtering through cellulose filters and culture on respectively GVPC, EMB and tryptic soya agar media. On average, Legionella was isolated from 65.7% of the water samples taken in hospitals. Strains of the Legionella pneumophila types 2-14 predominated, forming 74.6% of total Legionella isolates. Legionella pneumophila type 1 strains constituted 13.5% of the total count, while other species of Legionella (referred to as Legionella spp.) formed 11.9% of the total. The concentrations of Legionella in positive water samples ranged from 3-350 cfu/100 ml. GNB-E were not found in the examined water samples. GNB-NE were isolated from 79.1% of the water samples taken in hospitals in the concentrations 11-300 cfu/100 ml. Species of the family Pseudomonadaceae predominated among GNB-NE strains isolated from the examined water samples, forming on average 71.5% of the total count. Altogether, 20 GNB-NE species were identified in the examined samples, out of which 12 were potentially pathogenic. In conclusion, Gram-negative flora of water samples taken in the examined hospitals complies with potable water sanitary standards by the lack of Enterobacteriaceae species, but creates a moderate health risk because of mediocre concentrations of Legionella and the presence of potentially pathogenic nonenterobacterial species.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Nimfa Maria Stojek, Department of Occupational Biohazards, Institute of Agricultural Medicine, Jaczewskiego 2, 20-090 Lublin, Poland. E-mail: nina@galen.imw.lublin.pl

Key words: Gram-negative bacteria, *Legionella*, Non-Enterobacteriaceae, water distribution systems, hospitals.

#### INTRODUCTION

It has been demonstrated that potable water supplied to hospitals could be contaminated with various potentially infectious Gram-negative bacteria, including coliforms (members of Enterobacteriaceae family), *Legionella*, and other species not belonging to Enterobacteriaceae [4, 5, 7, 11, 13, 14, 23, 28, 35]. These organisms may be a cause of nosocomial infections, mainly in immunocompromised patients, that could be contracted by drinking, by inhaling droplet aerosol, or by dermal exposure [5, 7, 9, 12, 15, 19, 22, 23, 25, 30, 31, 32, 35].

Received: 26 February 2008 Accepted: 8 May 2008 The aim of the present study was to assess the degree of contamination of potable water from different outlets of water supply systems in 6 hospitals, with *Legionella* and non-fastidious Gram-negative bacteria belonging and not belonging to the family Enterobacteriaceae.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Samples of water.** In the years 2007-2008, a total of 67 samples of tap water were collected from different outlets (faucets or showerheads) of the hospital water supply systems distributing treated (chlorinated) groundwater,

pumped from the depth of 40-100 m. The samples were collected in the following 6 hospitals located in the Lublin province (eastern Poland): clinic for lung diseases (city of Lublin), gynecology clinic (city of Lublin), clinic for infectious diseases (city of Lublin), clinic for hemato-oncology and bone marrow transplantation (city of Lublin), dental clinic (city of Lublin), and regional hospital (Biała Podlaska). At all places the samples of hot water were collected except for the dental clinic, where samples of cold water were taken. Water samples of the volume of 300 ml were taken into sterile plastic bottles of the volume of 500 ml at following sites (sampling points):

• Clinic for lung diseases (18 sites): 1) Ward A, showerhead; 2) Ward A, faucet; 3) Ward B, showerhead; 4) Ward C, faucet; 5) Ward C, showerhead; 6) Ward D, showerhead; 7) Bathroom for personnel A, faucet; 8) Bathroom for personnel A, showerhead; 9) Kitchen, faucet; 10) Bathroom for personnel B, faucet; 11) Bathroom for personnel B, showerhead; 12) Ward E, faucet; 13) Ward E, showerhead; 14) Ward F, faucet; 15) Ward F, showerhead; 16) Bathroom for personnel C, faucet; 17) Bathroom for personnel C, showerhead; 18) Bathroom for personnel D, showerhead.

• Gynecology clinic (17 sites): 1) Bathroom for patients, faucet A; 2) Bathroom for patients, faucet B; 3) Bathroom for patients, showerhead A; 4) Bathroom for patients, showerhead B; 5) Bathroom for patients, showerhead C; 6) Bathroom for personnel, faucet; 7) Bathroom for personnel, showerhead; 8) Ward A, faucet; 9) Ward B, faucet; 10) Ward C, faucet; 11) Ward D, faucet; 12) Ward E, faucet; 13) Ward F, faucet; 14) Nurses' room, faucet; 15) Treatment room, faucet A; 16) Treatment room, faucet.

• Clinic for infectious diseases (18 sites): 1) Physicians' room, faucet; 2) Treatment room, faucet A; 3) Treatment room, faucet B; 4) Ward A, faucet; 5) Bathroom for personnel, showerhead; 6) Bathroom for personnel, faucet; 7) Ward B, showerhead; 8) Ward B, faucet; 9) Passage to Ward B, faucet; 10) Kitchen, faucet; 11) Passage to Ward C, faucet; 12) Ward C, showerhead; 13) Ward C, faucet; 14) Ward D, faucet; 15) Ward D, showerhead; 16) Passage to Ward D, faucet; 17) Passage to Ward E, faucet; 18) Ward E, showerhead.

Clinic for hemato-oncology and bone marrow transplantation (6 sites): 1) Tissue bank, faucet; 2) Transplantation room, showerhead; 3) Transplantation room, faucet;
4) Passage to transplantation room, faucet; 5) Passage to clinic, faucet; 6) Nurse's station, faucet.

• Dental clinic (5 sites): 1) Dental unit A, waterline outlet; 2) Dental unit B, waterline outlet; 3) Dental unit C, waterline outlet; 4) Dental unit D, waterline outlet; 5) Dental unit E, waterline outlet.

• Regional hospital (3 sites): 1) Bathroom for patients A, faucet; 2) Bathroom for patients B, faucet; 3) Bathroom for patients C, faucet.

The faucets in examined hospitals were equipped with aerators or other endings for better outflow of water.

**Processing of samples.** Water samples were examined for the presence of following Gram-negative bacteria (GNB): (a) *Legionella*; (b) non-fastidious Gram-negative bacteria belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family (GNB-E); (c) non-fastidious Gram-negative bacteria not belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family (GNB-NE). For recovery of *Legionella*, water samples of 100 ml volume were filtered through cellulose filters (pores 0.45 µm, Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA). Filters were washed for 10 min in acid buffer (pH 2.2), then rinsed in Ringer solution (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and finally placed on the isolation agar medium. For recovery of GNB-E and GNB-NE, water samples of 100 ml volume each were filtered through cellulose filters (pores 0.45 µm, Millipore, USA), and finally placed on the appropriate isolation agar medium.

Isolation and identification of Legionella strains. The buffered charcoal yeast extract (BCYE) agar medium supplemented with the Growth Supplement SR 110 A and the Selective GVPC Supplement SR 152 E (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) [3, 18, 29] was used for isolation of Legionella (further referred to as GVPC medium). Inoculated agar plates were incubated for 7 days at 37°C with everyday check of growth. Colonies of Gram-negative bacteria grown after 4-7 days were isolated and examined for ability to grow on media with and without cysteine. Strains unable to grow on media without cysteine were considered as suspected *Legionella* strains. The isolates were determined to the species and serogroup level with the use of the Legionella Latex Test Kit (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, UK) which enables, on the basis of microagglutination with latex particles sensitised with specific rabbit antibodies, a separate identification of Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1, Legionella pneumophila serogroups 2-14, and Legionella spp. (a complex group including: L. longbeache serogroups 1 and 2, L. bozemanii serogroups 1 and 2, L. dumoffii, L. gormanii, L. jordanis, L. micdadei and L. anisa) [18]. Only isolates giving positive reaction in the latex test were considered as strains of Legionella.

**Isolation and identification of GNB-E.** The eosin methylene blue (EMB) agar (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) was used for isolation of bacteria of Enterobacteriaceae family. Inoculated agar plates were incubated for 24 hrs at 37°C.

**Isolation and identification of GNB-NE.** The tryptic soya agar (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) was used for isolation of bacteria not belonging to Enterobacteriaceae family. Inoculated agar plates were incubated for 24 hrs at 37°C. The grown colonies were counted and differentiated and the isolates were identified to the species or genus level with the microtest API Systems NE (bioMérieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France).

**Statistical analysis.** The data were analysed by Shapiro-Wilk W-test for distribution and Spearman's test for correlation with the use of STATISTICA for Windows v. 5.0 package (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA).

## RESULTS

Isolation frequency and concentration of GNB in potable water from hospital distribution systems. In water samples from hemato-oncology and dental clinics no strains of *Legionella* were found. In the samples taken in the remaining 4 hospitals the prevalence of *Legionella* was within a range of 55.6-100% (Tab. 1–4). On average, *Legionella* was isolated from 65.7% of the water samples

taken in hospitals. Strains of the *Legionella pneumophila* types 2-14 predominated, forming 74.6% of total *Legionella* isolates from hospital water systems. *Legionella pneumophila* type 1 strains constituted 13.5% of the total count, while other species of *Legionella* (referred to as *Legionella* spp.) formed 11.9% of the total.

The concentrations of *Legionella* in 44 positive water samples ranged from 3-350 cfu/100 ml (Tab. 1–4). In 28 samples (63.6% of the positive and 41.8% of the total samples) it was equal to or exceeded 100 cfu/100 ml which is the Polish threshold limit value for mediocre pollution of potable water with *Legionella* [24]. In no case was the

Table 1. Occurrence of Gram-negative bacteria in samples of tap water taken from the outlets of the municipal water supply system in the clinic for lung diseases (18 samples).

Site	Legionella (GVPC)		Entero-	Non-Enterobacteriaceae (NE) (Tryptic Soya Agar)					
-			bacteriaceae (EMB)	Concentration cfu/100 ml					
	Concentration cfu/100 ml	Species	Concentration cfu/100 ml	Chryseomo- nas luteola	Empedobacter brevis	Pseudomonas spp.	Other NE	Total NE	
1	0		0	30	30	0	0	60	
2	50	L. p. 2–14	0	30	0	50 <sup>b</sup>	0	80	
3	10	<i>L. p.</i> 2–14 <i>L.</i> spp.	0	0	30	0	50 <sup>e</sup>	80	
4	60	L. p. 2–14	0	0	10	0	70 <sup>dj</sup>	80	
5	30	L. p. 2–14	0	0	80	0	20 <sup>h</sup>	100	
6	6	L. p. 2–14	0	0	10	0	10 <sup>g</sup>	20	
7	4	L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	300 <sup>b</sup>	0	300	
8	50	<i>L. p.</i> 2–14 <i>L.</i> spp.	0	0	0	250 <sup>b</sup>	0	250	
9	3	L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	0	$100^{d}$	100	
10	150	L. p. 1 L. p. 2–14 L. spp.	0	0	0	20 <sup>b</sup>	0	20	
11	100	L. p. 2–14	0	100	0	0	0	100	
12	40	L. p. 1 L. p. 2–14	0	0	100	0	100 <sup>i</sup>	200	
13	200	<i>L. p.</i> 2–14 <i>L.</i> spp.	0	0	0	100 <sup>a c</sup>	0	100	
14	80	L. p. 2–14	0	100	100	15 <sup>b</sup>	0	215	
15	100	<i>L. p.</i> 2–14 <i>L.</i> spp.	0	0	100	0	50 <sup>f</sup>	150	
16	80	<i>L. p.</i> 2–14 <i>L.</i> spp.	0	0	50	0	50 <sup>f</sup>	100	
17	30	L. p. 1 L. p. 2–14	0	0	80	0	50 <sup>f</sup>	130	
18	0		0	0	20	100 <sup>b</sup>	0	120	
Total positive	16/18 (88.9%)		0/18 (0)	4/18 (22.2%)	11/18 (61.1%)	7/18 (38.9%)	9/18 (50.0%)	18/18 (100%)	
Median	45.0		0	0	15.0	0	5.0	100.0	
Mean*	$55.2 \pm 55.7$		0	$14.4 \pm 32.6$	$33.9\pm39.9$	$46.4 \pm 89.7$	$27.8\pm35.6$	$122.5 \pm 75.2$	

L. p. 1=Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1; L. p. 2–14=Legionella pneumophila serogroups 2–14; L. spp.=Legionella spp. (other than L. pneumophila); <sup>a</sup>Pseudomonas aeruginosa; <sup>b</sup>Pseudomonas alcaligenes; <sup>c</sup>Pseudomonas stutzeri; <sup>d</sup>Acinetobacter haemolyticus; <sup>c</sup>Aeromonas salmonicida; <sup>f</sup>Brevundimonas vesicularis; <sup>e</sup>Chryseobacterium indologenes; <sup>b</sup>Photobacterium damsela; <sup>i</sup>Ralstonia pickettii; <sup>j</sup>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia;  $*\bar{x} \pm S.D.$  value of 1000 cfu/100 ml exceeded, which is defined as high pollution of potable water with *Legionella* [24].

Gram-negative bacteria belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family were not found in the examined water samples.

Gram-negative bacteria not belonging to Enterobacteriaceae family (GNB-NE) were not found in the water samples taken in the dental clinic (Tab. 4). In the samples taken in the clinic for infectious diseases the prevalence of GNB-NE was 50% (Tab. 3), while in the remaining 4 hospitals GNB-NE were recovered from all samples examined (100%) (Tab. 1, 2, 4). On average, GNB-NE were isolated from 79.1% of the water samples taken in hospitals. The concentrations of GNB-NE in positive samples ranged from 11-300 cfu/100 ml and in no case exceeded the concentration of 50 cfu/ml recommended as a Polish threshold value for total microorganisms grown at 36°C [24].

No significant correlation could be found between the concentrations of *Legionella* and GNB-NE in the examined water samples taken in hospitals (p>0.2).

Species composition of GNB-NE in water samples taken in hospitals. Altogether, the following 20 GNB-NE species were identified in the examined samples of potable water collected in hospitals: Acinetobacter haemolyticus, Acinetobacter lwoffii, Aeromonas hydrophila, Aeromonas salmonicida, Brevundimonas diminuta, Brevundimonas vesicularis, Burkholderia cepacia, Chryseobacterium indologenes, Chryseomonas luteola, Empedobacter brevis, Flavimonas oryzihabitans, Photobacterium damsela, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Pseudomonas alcaligenes, Pseudomonas mesophilica, Pseudomonas stutzeri, Ralstonia pickettii, Sphingomonas paucimobilis, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Vibrio metschnikovii.

Among GNB-NE strains isolated from the examined water samples, predominated species of the family Pseudomonadaceae belonging to genera *Brevundimonas*, *Burkholderia*, *Chryseomonas*, *Flavimonas*, *Pseudomonas*, *Ralstonia*, *Sphingomonas*, and *Stenotrophomonas*. They formed 25.7– 95.1%, on the average 71.5% of the total GNB-NE count.

 Table 2. Occurrence of Gram-negative bacteria in samples of tap water taken from the outlets of the municipal water supply system in the gynecology clinic (17 samples).

Site	Legionella (GVPC)		Entero-	Non Enterobacteriaceae (NE) (Tryptic Soya Agar) Concentration cfu/100 ml					
			bacteriaceae (EMB)						
	Concentration cfu/100 ml	Species	Concentration cfu/100 ml	Aeromonas hydrophila	Burkholderia cepacia	Pseudomonas spp.	Other NE	Total NE	
1	50	L. p. 2-14	0	80	0	0	0	80	
2	320	L. p. 2-14	0	30	0	0	80 <sup>f</sup>	110	
3	50	L. p. 2-14	0	0	150	6ª	0	156	
4	100	L. p. 2-14	0	0	150	0	0	150	
5	200	L. p. 2-14	0	0	0	54 <sup>a b</sup>	0	54	
6	0		0	0	0	200ª	0	200	
7	200	L. p. 1 L. p. 2-14	0	0	200	0	0	200	
8	250	L. p. 2-14	0	0	200	0	0	200	
9	150	L. p. 2-14	0	0	0	4ª	200 <sup>d</sup>	204	
10	150	L. p. 2-14	0	0	200	0	0	200	
11	200	L. p. 2-14	0	0	0	200 <sup>b</sup>	0	200	
12	200	L. p. 2-14	0	0	20	0	0	20	
13	0		0	0	100	0	50 <sup>e</sup>	150	
14	200	L. p. 2-14	0	0	80	0	20 <sup>c</sup>	100	
15	150	L. p. 2-14	0	0	50	0	50°	100	
16	100	L. p. 2-14	0	0	200	0	0	200	
17	300	L. p. 1 L. p. 2-14	0	0	25	0	25°	50	
Total positive	15/17 (88.2%)		0/17 (0)	2/17 (11.8%)	11/17 (64.7%)	5/17 (29.4%)	6/17 (35.3%)	17/17 (100%)	
Median	150.0		0	0	50.0	0	0	150.0	
Mean*	$154.2\pm94.7$		0	$6.5\pm20.3$	$80.9 \pm 84.3$	$27.3\pm 66.3$	$25.0\pm51.1$	$139.6 \pm 63.1$	

*L. p.* 1=Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1; *L. p.* 2–14=Legionella pneumophila serogroups 2–14; <sup>a</sup>Pseudomonas aeruginosa; <sup>b</sup>Pseudomonas stutzeri; <sup>c</sup>Flavimonas oryzihabitans; <sup>d</sup>Ralstonia pickettii; <sup>c</sup>Sphingomonas paucimobilis; <sup>f</sup>Stenotrophomonas maltophilia; <sup>\*</sup> $\bar{x} \pm S.D.$ 

Site	Legionella (GVPC)		Enterobacte-	Non Enterobacteriaceae (NE) (Tryptic Soya Agar)					
			riaceae (EMB)	Concentration cfu/100 ml					
	Concentration cfu/100 ml	Species	Concentration cfu/100 ml	Acinetobacter lwoffii	Chryseomonas luteola	Pseudomonas spp.	Other NE	Total NE	
1	0		0	100	0	0	0	100	
2	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	80	L. p. 1 L. p. 2–14	0	0	30	0	0	30	
4	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	100	<i>L. p.</i> 2–14 <i>L.</i> spp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	
6	350	L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	0	0	0	
7	0		0	0	0	0	50 <sup>b</sup>	50	
8	200	L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	0	0	0	
9	40	L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	0	0	0	
10	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
11	100	L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	0	0	0	
12	280	L. p. 1 L. p. 2–14	0	100	0	0	0	100	
13	0		0	200	0	0	0	200	
14	0		0	50	0	0	20°	70	
15	300	L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	0	50°	50	
16	200	L. p. 2–14	0	0	30	50 <sup>a</sup>	0	80	
17	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
18	250	L. p. 2–14	0	20	0	0	0	20	
Total positive	10/18 (55.6%)		0/18 (0)	5/18 (27.8%)	2/18 (11.1%)	1/18 (5.6%)	3/18 (16.7%)	9/18 (50.0%)	
Median	60.0		0	0	0	0	0	10.0	
Mean*	$105.6 \pm 123.9$		0	26.1 ± 54.6	3.3 ± 9.7	$2.8 \pm 11.8$	6.7 ± 16.4	$38.9 \pm 54.4$	

Table 3. Occurrence of Gram-negative bacteria in samples of tap water taken from the outlets of the municipal water supply system in the clinic for infectious diseases (18 samples).

*L. p.* 1=*Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 1; *L. p.* 2–14=*Legionella pneumophila* serogroups 2–14; *L.* spp.=*Legionella* spp. (other than *L. pneumophila*). <sup>a</sup>*Pseudomonas mesophilica*; <sup>b</sup>*Empedobacter brevis*; <sup>c</sup>*Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*;  $*\bar{x} \pm S.D$ .

Frequency of isolation of particular species varied depending on the kind of hospital. Thus, in the clinic for lung diseases the most common species was *Empedobacter brevis* isolated from 61.1% of examined water samples (Tab. 1), while in the gynecology clinic it was *Burkholderia cepacia* isolated from 64.7% of water samples (Tab. 2). In the clinic for hemato-oncology relatively common were species *Brevundimonas vesicularis* and *Pseudomonas stutzeri* isolated each from 50% of water samples (Tab. 4).

### DISCUSSION

The mean prevalence of *Legionella* in the water samples taken in 6 different hospitals (65.7%) was smaller compared to analogical data reported from Italy [13, 14], Denmark [2], USA [7], and Warsaw (Poland) [19], similar or greater compared to those reported from Germany

[4, 8, 16], and greater compared to those reported from Canada [17], and the UK [20]. It was also greater compared to the values obtained previously by us in various health care units in Lublin, Poland [28]. It is noteworthy that in the present work were isolated potentially pathogenic *Legionella pneumophila* type 1 strains which had not been found previously [28]. Nevertheless, nosocomial infections could be evoked by the strains belonging to *Legionella pneumophila* serogroups 2–14 – such as serogroup 5 [22] or serogroup 6 [5] – which were predominant among *Legionella* strains isolated in this study. Most probably, the presence of aerators or other endings on faucets or showerheads favoured proliferation of legionellae. In contrast to our previous work [28], *Legionella* was recovered commonly from the showerheads in examined hospitals.

The concentration of *Legionella* in the water distribution systems of examined hospitals nowhere exceeded the

Site	Legionella (GVPC)		Enterobac-	Non Enterobacteriaceae (NE) (Tryptic Soya Agar)					
			teriaceae (EMB)	Concentration cfu/100 ml					
	Concentration cfu/100 ml	Species	Concentra- tion cfu/100 ml	Brevun- dimonas vesicularis	Empedo- bacter brevis	Pseudomonas spp.	Other NE	Total NE	
Clinic for hem	ato-oncology								
1	0		0	100	0	100 <sup>b</sup>	0	200	
2	0		0	0	0	13 <sup>b</sup>	0	13	
3	0		0	100	0	100 <sup>b</sup>	0	200	
4	0		0	11	0	0	0	11	
5	0		0	0	200	0	0	200	
6	0		0	0	0	0	200 <sup>d</sup>	200	
Total positive	0/6 (0)		0/6 (0)	3/6 (50.0%)	1/6 (16.7%)	3/6 (50.0%)	1/6 (16.7%)	6/6 (100%)	
Median	0		0	5.5	0	6.5	0	200.0	
Mean*	0		0	$35.2\pm50.4$	$33.3 \pm 81.6$	$35.5\pm50.2$	$33.3 \pm 81.6$	$137.3 \pm 97.1$	
Dental clinic									
1	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
3	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
4	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total positive	0/5 (0)		0/5 (0)	100	0	0	0	0/5 (0)	
Median	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mean*	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	
Regional hosp	ital								
1	200	L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	0	20°	20	
2	300	L. p. 1 L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	0	200 <sup>e</sup>	200	
3	350	L. p. 2–14	0	0	0	200ª	0	200	
Total positive	3/3 (100%)		0/3 (0)	0/3 (0)	0/3 (0)	1/3 (33.3%)	2/3 (66.7%)	3/3 (100%)	
Median	300.0		0	0	0	0	20.0	200.0	
Mean*	$283.3\pm76.4$		0	0	0	$66.7 \pm 115.5$	$73.3 \pm 110.2$	$140.0\pm103.9$	

Table 4. Occurrence of Gram-negative bacteria in samples of tap water taken from the outlets of the municipal water supply system in various hospitals (14 samples).

*L. p.* 1=Legionella pneumophila serogroup 1; *L. p.* 2–14=Legionella pneumophila serogroups 2–14; <sup>a</sup>Pseudomonas aeruginosa; <sup>b</sup>Pseudomonas stutzeri; <sup>c</sup>Aeromonas salmonicida; <sup>d</sup>Brevundimonas diminuta; <sup>e</sup>Vibrio metschnikovii;  $*\bar{x} \pm S.D.$ 

threshold limit value of 1,000 (10<sup>3</sup>) cfu/100 ml, regarded as a high pollution of potable water with *Legionella* [1, 24, 31]. In 41.8% of the samples it was equal to or exceeded the value of 100 (10<sup>2</sup>) cfu/100 ml considered as a mediocre pollution of water with *Legionella* [24, 31]. Overall pollution of hospital water systems with *Legionella* found in the present work was smaller compared to earlier studies made in Germany and Italy [4, 8, 13, 16] where in part of the samples levels up to 10<sup>3</sup>-10<sup>6</sup> cfu/100 ml were found, as well as compared to a recent study of water distribution system in a children's hospital in Lublin where in 40% of samples the level of 10<sup>3</sup> cfu/100 ml or greater was noted [10]. Until recently, no cases of nosocomial legionellosis were diagnosed in the health care units examined in the present study.

In contrast to the earlier examined rehabilitation centre [28], the water distribution systems of examined hospitals were totally free of Gram-negative bacteria belonging to family Enterobacteriaceae, meeting the Polish [24] and international [6] sanitary regulations. As much as 79.1% of water samples contained the non-fastidious Gram-negative bacteria not belonging to family Enterobacteriaceae (GNB-NE), which, however, never exceeded threshold limit values proposed for total heterotrophic bacteria [21,

24]. A potential risk was associated rather with the species composition of these bacteria and the presence of potentially pathogenic species.

Out of 20 GNB-NE species isolated from the samples of potable water examined in the present study, at least 12 were reported as obligatory or opportunistic agents of infectious diseases. These are following species: Acinetobacter haemolyticus, Acinetobacter lwoffii, Aeromonas hydrophila, Brevundimonas vesicularis, Burkholderia cepacia, Chryseobacterium indologenes, Chryseomonas luteola, Photobacterium damsela, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Ralstonia pickettii, Sphingomonas paucimobilis, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia [33, 34, 36]. Some of these pathogens, as Acinetobacter spp., Aeromonas hydrophila, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Stenotrophomonas maltophilia are often isolated from water and have been identified as a cause of waterborne infections by drinking water as well as by contact with skin or inhaling of droplet aerosol [4, 12, 21, 26, 27]. The greatest hazard is posed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa, a major cause of hospital-acquired infections with a high mortality rate [26]. The presence of this species in quantities equal to or exceeding 1 cfu per 100 ml of potable water is not permitted by Polish [24] and international [6] sanitary regulations.

In conclusion, Gram-negative flora of water samples taken in the examined hospitals complies with potable water sanitary standards by the lack of Enterobacteriaceae species ("coliforms"), but creates a moderate health risk because of mediocre concentrations of *Legionella* and the presence of potentially pathogenic non-enterobacterial species.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely thank Professor Jan Kotarski, MD, Dr Barbara Mackiewicz, MD, Professor Janusz Milanowski, MD, Professor Roma Modrzewska, MD, Ms. Renata Ochal, MS, Ms. Teresa Raszewska, MS, and Dr Krzysztof Tomasiewicz, MD, all from Medical University of Lublin, for kind enabling the collection of water samples in the clinics, and for their generous assistance.

#### REFERENCES

1. Arnow PM, Weil D, Para MF: Prevalence and significance of *Legionella pneumophila* contamination of residential hot-tap water systems. *J Infect Dis* 1985, **152**, 145-151.

2. Asbjorn J, Andersen HK: [*Legionella pneumophila* in the hot water system of Danish hospitals and institutions. A questionnaire study and a random sample test.] *Ugeskr Laeger* 1995, **157**, 586-590 (in Danish).

3. Atlas RM, Parks LC: *Handbook of Microbiological Media*. CRC Press, Boca Raton 1993.

4. Botzenhart K, Heizmann W, Sedaghat S, Heeg P, Hahn T: Bacterial colonization and occurrence of *Legionella pneumophila* in warm and cold water, in faucet aerators, and in drains of hospitals. *Zentralbl Bakteriol Mikrobiol Hyg* [B] 1986, **183**, 79-85.

5. Cordes LG, Wiesenthal AM, Gorman GW, Phair JP, Sommers HM, Brown A, Yu VL, Magnussen MH, Meyer RD, Wolf JS, Shands KN, Fraser DW: Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* from hospital shower heads. *Ann Intern Med* 1981, **94**, 195-197. 6. Council Directive 98/83/EC of 3 November 1998 on the quality of water intended for human consumption. *Official Journal of the European Communities* 330/32, 5.12.98, Brussels 1998.

7. Goetz AM, Stout JE, Jacobs SL, Fisher MA, Ponzer RE, Drenning S, Yu VL: Nosocomial legionnaires' disease discovered in community hospitals following cultures of the water system: seek and ye shall find. *Am J Infect Control* 1998, **26**, 8-11.

8. Kalker U, Hentschel W: [Legionella contamination in warm water systems of a large German city.] Gesundheitswesen 1992, **54**, 597-604 (in German).

9. Kool JL, Bergmire-Sweat D, Butler JC, Brown EW, Peabody DJ, Massi DS, Carpenter JC, Pruckler JM, Benson RF, Fields BS: Hospital characteristics associated with colonization of water systems by *Legionella* and risk of nosocomial legionnaires' disease: a cohort study of 15 hospitals. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 1999, **20**, 798-805.

10. Kozioł-Montewka M, Magryś A, Stojek N, Palusińska-Szysz M, Danielak M, Wójtowicz M, Niewiedzioł J, Koncewicz R, Olender A, Paluch-Oleś J, Trzeciak H, Dutkiewicz J: Monitoring *Legionella* species in hospital water systems. Link with disease and evaluation of different detection methods. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2008, **15**, 143-148.

11. Kusnetsov J, Torvinen E, Perola O, Nousiainen T, Katila ML: Colonization of hospital water systems by legionellae, mycobacteria and other heterotrophic bacteria potentially hazardous to risk group patients. *APMIS* 2003, **111**, 546-556.

12. Leclerc H, Schwartzbrod L, Dei-Cas E: Microbial agents associated with waterborne diseases. *Crit Rev Microbiol* 2002, **28**, 371-409.

13. Legnani PP, Leoni E, Corradini N: *Legionella* contamination of hospital water supplies: monitoring of private healthcare facilities in Bologna, Italy. *J Hosp Infect* 2002, **50**, 220-223.

14. Leoni E, De Luca G, Legnani PP, Sacchetti R, Stampi S, Zanetti F: *Legionella* waterline colonization: detection of *Legionella* species in domestic, hotel and hospital hot water systems. *J Appl Microbiol* 2005, **98**, 373-379.

15. Lowry PW, Tompkins LS: Nosocomial legionellosis: a review of pulmonary and extrapulmonary syndromes. *Am J Infect Control* 1993, **21**, 21-27.

16. Luck PC, Leupold I, Hlawitschka M, Helbig JH, Carmienke I, Jatzwauk L, Guderitz T: Prevalence of *Legionella* species, serogroups, and monoclonal subgroups in hot water systems in south-eastern Germany. *Zentralbl Hyg Umweltmed* 1993, **193**, 450-460.

17. Marrie TJ, Haldane D, Bezanson G, Peppard R: Each water outlet is a unique ecological niche for *Legionella pneumophila*. *Epidemiol Infect* 1992, **108**, 261-270.

18. Matuszewska R, Krogulska B: [Detection and isolation of bacteria of genus *Legionella* from water environment.] *Roczn PZH* 2000, **51**, 183-190 (in Polish).

19. Pancer K, Stypułkowska-Misiurewicz H: Legionellosis – a risk of nosocomial infections (in Polish). *Nowiny Lekarskie* 2002, **71 (Supl. 1**), 37-40.

20. Patterson WJ, Hay J, Seal DV, McLuckie JC: Colonization of transplant unit water supplies with *Legionella* and protozoa: precautions required to reduce the risk of legionellosis. *J Hosp Infect* 1997, **37**, 7-17.

21. Pavlov D, de Wet CM, Grabow WO, Ehlers MM: Potentially pathogenic features of heterotrophic plate count bacteria isolated from treated and untreated drinking water. *Int J Food Microbiol* 2004, **92**, 275-287.

22. Perola O, Kauppinen J, Kusnetsov J, Heikkinen J, Jokinen C, Katila ML: Nosocomial *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 5 outbreak associated with persistent colonization of a hospital water system. *APMIS* 2002, **110**, 863-868.

23. Rogues AM, Boulestreau H, Lashéras A, Boyer A, Gruson D, Merle C, Castaing Y, Bébear CM, Gachie JP : Contribution of tap water to patient colonisation with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a medical intensive care unit. *J Hosp Infect* 2007, **67**, 72-78.

24. Rozporządzenie Ministra Zdrowia z dnia 13 marca 2007 r. w sprawie jakości wody przeznaczonej do spożycia przez ludzi. Dz. U. Nr 61, poz. 417, Warszawa 2007.

25. Ruf B, Schurmann D, Horbach I, Seidel K, Pohle HD: Nosocomial legionella pneumonia: demonstration of potable water as the source of infection. *Epidemiol Infect* 1988, **101**, 647-654.

26. Rusin PA, Rose JB, Haas CN, Gerba CP: Risk assessment of opportunistic bacterial pathogens in drinking water. *Rev Environ Contam Toxicol* 1997, **152**, 57-83.

27. Stojek NM: [Bacteriological study of water from rural water supply systems from the aspect of potential risk for human health – Gramnegative bacteria.] *Med Ogólna* 2003, **9**, 218-226 (in Polish).

28. Stojek NM, Dutkiewicz J: *Legionella* and other Gram-negative bacteria in potable water from various rural and urban sources. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2006, **13**, 323-335.

29. Stypułkowska-Misiurewicz H, Krogulska B, Pancer K, Matuszewska R: [*Legionella* sp. – investigation of human infection and detection in environmental water.] *Roczn PZH* 2001, **52**, 1-18 (in Polish).

30. Stypułkowska-Misiurewicz H, Pancer K: [Legionellosis – a new infection in Poland.] *Przegl Epidemiol* 2002, **56**, 567-576 (in Polish).

31. Stypułkowska-Misiurewicz H, Pancer K: [Legionellosis in Poland in 2001-2002 and the epidemiological situation in Europe.] *Przegl Epidemiol* 2003, **57**, 599-606 (in Polish).

32. Szymańska J: Risk of exposure to *Legionella* in dental practice. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2004, **11**, 9-12.

33. Szymańska J: Bacterial contamination of water in dental unit reservoirs. Ann Agric Environ Med 2007, 14, 137-140.

34. Szymańska J: Dental bioaerosols as an occupational hazard in a dentist's workplace. *Ann Agric Environ Med* 2007, **14**, 203-207.

35. Weber DJ, Rutala WA, Blanchet CN, Jordan M, Gergen MF: Faucet aerators: A source of patient colonization with *Stenotrophomonas* maltophilia. Am J Infect Control 1999, **27**, 59-63.

36. Zaremba LM, Borowski J: [Foundations of Medical Microbiology.] PZWL, Warsaw 1994 (in Polish).